UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V
VIKKI D. LEWIS, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,	A
Plaintiff, -against-	ORDER CV 06-1308 (DRH)(ARL)
NATIONAL FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,	
Defendant.	X
LINDSAY, Magistrate Judge:	
Before the court is the plaintiffs' letter as have the court reconsider its September 12, 200' equitably toll the limitation period for the potent defendant opposes the motion by letter dated Se motion. To succeed on this motion for reconsid overlooked controlling decisions or factual mattemotion. See Local Civil Rule 6.3. The plaintiff the court before it reached its September 12 th de is based on "additional supplemental authority"	tial opt-in collective action members. The ptember 21, 2007. The motion is not a proper leration, the plaintiffs must show that the Court ers that were put before it on the underlying was given a full opportunity to submit cases to cision and missed one. Thus, the motion, which

SO ORDERED:

ARLENE R. LINDSAY

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: Central Islip, New York September 24, 2007